United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/520,247	01/03/2005	Robert Moran	FKC-106US	5060	
23122 RATNERPRES	7590 02/02/2007 STIA	EXAMINER			
P O BOX 980			KEENAN, JAMES W		
VALLEY FOR	RGE, PA 19482-0980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3652		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		02/02/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/520	0,247	MORAN ET AL.	MORAN ET AL.			
		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
			Keenan	3652				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communion Reply	cation appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MANSIONS OF THE MANSIONS OF THE MANSIONS OF THE MANSIONS OF THE MANSION OF THE MANSIO	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. tutory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUI be event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) M application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>16 June 200</i>	· 5 .					
·	• •	b)⊠ This action i						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,9 and 14-16</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,9 and 14-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or electio	n requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers			•				
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 January 20</u>	<u>005</u> is/are: a) <u>□</u> a	ccepted or b)	objected to by the Examir	ner.			
•	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s) be held in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	Note the attach	ned Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)				. ()			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	TO 040)		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	10-948)		of Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/03/05</u> . 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/520,247 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph **on a separate sheet** within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it utilizes improper language; e.g., "the invention is concerned with ...". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. The abstract of the disclosure does not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(4). A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text.
- 4. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

(a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,247

Art Unit: 3652

(b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.

(c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.

Page 3

- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).
- 5. The drawings are objected to because each of figures 1-4 comprises two separate figures showing the invention from different angles. These figures should be separately labeled. The specification should be correspondingly corrected. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency.

Art Unit: 3652

Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the phraseology used in the specification to describe the first and second sets of jaws is inconsistent with that of the claims; i.e., "first" and "second" in the specification corresponds to "second" and "first", respectively, in the claims. Either the specification or claims should be corrected so as to provide consistent terminology throughout the disclosure.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 1-5, 9, and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In clam 1, line 10, "object" should be --of the objects-- to be consistent with the recitation in line 9;

and line 11, "and" should apparently be deleted.

Claim 2, line 2, "set" should be plural.

Claims 14-16, the recitations of "set of jaws are" should be either --set of jaws is-or -- jaws of the ... set are--.

Page 5

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

10. Claims 1-4, 9, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dunn (US 4,354,603).

Dunn shows an apparatus for handling objects with an aperture defining a rim, including first and second sets of jaws A1-A3, B1-B3 wherein both sets of jaws can be selectively moved radially toward and away from one another and one set of jaws is also movable axially such that in use one set grips an object by the rim and moves axially while the other set is retracted, the other set is then moved outwardly to grip the object by the rim while the one set is retracted, the one set then moves axially again and is extended to grip a second object by the rim, as well as the first object, the other set is again retracted, the one set again moves axially, and is again retracted while the second set moves outwardly to grip both objects, and the process continues so as to stack multiple objects on the other set of jaws.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,247 Page 6

Art Unit: 3652

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

12. Claims 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunn.

Dunn shows each set of jaws to comprise 3 jaws spaced equidistantly around a circumference, thus the axes in which the first and second sets of jaws move toward and away from one another are spaced 60 degrees apart rather than being perpendicular.

Nevertheless, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the apparatus of Dunn such that the sets of jaws each comprised only 2 opposed jaws, thereby resulting in the axes thereof being perpendicular to one another, as this would be a mere matter of design choice, since the invention would work equally well regardless of whether the sets of jaws comprised 2 or 3 jaws.

13. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunn in view of Van Breen (US 4,290,734), Carlton (US 4,865,509, cited by applicant), or Akagawa (US 5,259,710, cited by applicant).

Art Unit: 3652

Dunn does not show a sensor provided on the apparatus for detecting a number of objects on the support. Dunn does disclose that the device "is readily subject to automation".

Van Breen (col. 3, lines 12-29), Carlton (col. 3, lines 60-67), and Akagawa (col. 5, lines 16-34) all disclose sensors in a similar object handling environment which at least indirectly detect the number of objects on the support and thus contribute toward automation of the apparatus.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Dunn by adding a sensor thereto to keep track of the number of objects stacked on the support, as suggested by Van Breen, Carlton, and Akagawa, to increase efficiency by making the operation more automated.

- 14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Keenan whose telephone number is 571-272-6925. The examiner can normally be reached on (schedule varies).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on 571-272-6951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or \$\frac{5}{7}\$1-272-1000.

James Keenan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3652

jwk 1/31/07